Weeding out Incompetent Leaders

Carl V. Rabstejnek, P.E., M.B.A., Ph.D.

... it was in North Africa that the U.S. Army gained invaluable experience, from the lowest private to the highest commander. Leaders emerged at the noncommissioned officer, junior officer, and general levels. **Incompetents were weeded out**, while men who would lead the drive in Europe were discovered ... Stephen E. Ambrose

The opening quotation indicates that in World War II the American military command recognized the need to remove incompetent leaders. Officers at all levels, who obtained prominent positions through credentials, longevity, tenure, politics, contacts, charm, and a host of other reasons in peacetime, have to be reevaluated when troops are to be led by them in combat.

During the Civil War, President Abraham Lincoln found it was difficult to find a competent commanding general. To deal with the dilemma he kept replacing generals until he eventually appointed Ulysses S. Grant.

Performance and not Pedigree

In recent times, bad leaders are too often allowed to remain because fiduciary agents made the decision to hire him or her. Thus, the person remains in charge long after an unbiased observer should have determined that the particular job is outside their range of competence.

To counter this trend, my intent in writing these essays — beginning with the <u>25-50-25 rule of thumb</u> — is to make trustees *aware* of the empirical data showing very many leaders are incompetent. Too often, the criteria for hiring do not translate to ability for *the job at hand*.

For example, by every measure George B. McClelland was a reasonable choice to lead the Union Armies. He graduated second in his class at West Point and came from a well-situated Philadelphia family. Subordinates liked him and he had successfully raised and trained troops. His prior military and civilian accomplishments were commendable. McClelland believed in himself and left the pre-war army for faster advancement in civilian pursuits. He returned when the war started and quickly advance to a Regular Army major general. Nevertheless, all his talent, traits, abilities, and accomplishment did not transfer to combat command.

Intelligence, pedigree, past performance, personal arrogance, bearing, and charisma did not translate to the competent waging of war. Lincoln put McClelland in charge twice and both times sure battlefield wins did not materialize. The general had plenty of excuses and supporters but the indomitable president prevailed.

We must recognize our means of prediction are not foolproof. Using performance of West Point Civil War generals, <u>I calculated how</u> The United States Military Academy's long established formal ranking system for all graduates, until 1977, did not predict field performance.

Post-World War II Leadership Culture

Millions served in the armed forces during the Second World War. The nation learned to function in hierarchical structures and obey orders. Command was synonymous with leadership. Coordinated major operations, such as D-Day and Pacific island landings, were supported by thousands of infantry, sailors, and airmen. Many more provided support behind the scenes.

It was in this environment that soldiers adopted the acronym "snafu" (Situation Normal All F**ked Up). In practice the rank and file continually compensated for leadership foul-ups with their rifle, so to speak.

Military leaders use the term <u>fog of war</u> to indicate *ambiguity* of plans. Postwar, this humility began to disappear after U.S. enemies surrendered.

A group of analysts emanating from the Army Air Forces Office of Statistical Control successfully restructured Ford Motor Company under Robert McNamara, where they were called "Whiz Kids." These wartime behind the scenes *staff* advisors began to assume *line* command in industry, politics, and the Vietnam War. They were dubbed "the best and the brightest" and deluded many, including themselves.

Business schools adopted a scientific approach to management. Systems analyses became complex and an undeserved certainly was attributed to calculated output. Forgotten were war lessons of uncertainty and humility. Codified management approaches prevailed.

A good metaphor for the management and leadership was the introduction of <u>paint by numbers</u> kits in 1951. I was ten years old at the time and remember friends of my parents proudly hanging their handiwork on the wall. Our society became enamored with systematic methods.

For the remainder of the century we produced a series of routinized approaches that became popular for a time, only to be supplanted by the next *solution de jour*. I included a table of a hundred fads and fashions since WWII to illustrate the phrase *one-size-does-not-fit-all*.

Although soldiers were well aware of the term snafu, the culture imbued unquestioned acceptance of military-type organizational hierarchies with command and control. Leader's competence was rarely questioned by those above and below them, allowing many disasters.

Leadership is Necessary

There is sufficient information to know leaders are necessary. I spent countless hours in psychodynamic training groups, where leadership participation is withheld and chaos evolves. In organizations, beneficial and detrimental effects caused by leaders were observed.

Essays of this type spread *awareness* that many leaders do not live up to expectations, in practice. Examples from two major American wars illustrate the need to weed out those that cannot perform the job because misplaced acceptance of incapable leadership is harmful to the organization's mission.

One Hundred Management Fads and Fashions Since World War II

Acceptable Risk **Distributed Intelligence Knowledge Management Servant Leadership Assessment Centers Downsizing or Rightsizing** Learn Manufacturing **Social Responsibility Automatic Factories** Diversification **Learning Organizations Spin-Offs (Divestiture) Baldridge Award Diversity Training One-minute Management** Stewardship **Dress-Down (Casual) Friday Organization Development Strategic Planning Units Balanced Scoreboard Out-of-Box Thinking Benchmarking Education Initiatives Subcontracting Broad-Banding Electronic Data Processing Outsourcing Supply Chain Management Business Ethics Emotional Intelligence Managed Health Care Takeovers Management by Objectives Empowerment Business School Offerings Team Building** Cafeteria Programs **Ethical Leadership** Mgt. by Walking Around T-groups Centralization Excellence **Matrix Management** Theory Z (and Theory X & Y) **Change/Creative Destruction Experience Curve Myers-Briggs Type Indicator Time-Based Competition Chaordic Organizations Flat Organizations Pay for Performance Time-Motion Studies Computerization** Flex Time **Portfolio Management Time Sharing Total Quality Management Complexity Free Information Exchange** Post-Capitalism/Co-Opetition Computer Integrated Mfg. **Functional Teams** PERT (Prog. Eval. and Rev. Tech.) **Training Project Management Conglomeration Transactional Analysis** Internet Convergence Intrapreneuring **Privatization Transformational Leadership Core Competencies** ISO-9000 **Quality Circles Value-Based Management Corporate Culture Issues Management** Reengineering Value Chain Analysis **Critical Path Analysis** Japanese Management Restructuring Virtualization **Customer Driven Sales Force Automation** Job Enrichment **Zero-based Budgeting Zero Defects Data Warehousing Job Sharing Scientific Management Zero-Latency Enterprises Decentralization** Joint Ventures **Self-Managed Teams Sensitivity Training Demassing Just-In-Time**